



Joint Basing Town Hall Meeting

20 September 2006





Agenda

BRAC 2005 - Joint Basing

- Background
- Purpose
- Timelines
- Key Issues





OSD Joint Basing Language

- "...Realign Fort Eustis by relocating the installation management functions to Langley Air Force Base."
 - "Specific exceptions not included in the functions to relocate are Health and Military Personnel Services."
 - "the Department anticipates transferring responsibility for all other Base Operating Support (BOS) functions and the Operations and Maintenance (O&M) portion of Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization (SRM), to the designated receiving location."

QSD Joint Basing Language cont

- "...the Department requires flexibility to tailor implementation to the unique requirements at each location."
 - No cookie cutter approach
 - Each agreement will be negotiated between installations and approved by OSD

Actual BRAC language calls for completion by FY11

- OSD is aiming for FY07-09 implementation
- Goal is to realize efficiencies ASAP





Purpose of Joint Basing

- Partner with Langley Air Force Base to provide joint management of both installations:
 - Create efficiencies and eliminate redundancy.
 - Partnership will benefit both installations by providing fiscal resources to implement Common Output Level Standards (COLS).
 - We will take this opportunity to design the right manpower mix and resource structure to deliver support to our customers, now and in the future.



BRAC 2005 Joint Bases



Billet reductions projected by COBRA

NS Pearl Harbor / Hickam AFB, HI Navy Navy Base Guam / Andersen AB, GU **JBIRS Site** Lead Anacostia Annex / Bolling AFB, DC (119)**JBIRS Site** Army NS Norfolk / Ft Story, VA (21) Lead Ft Lewis / McChord AFB, WA Ft Myer / Henderson Hall, VA AF Lead Charleston AFB / NWS Charleston, SC (264)McGuire AFB / Ft Dix / NAES Lakehurst, NJ (89 & 173) **Andrews AFB / NAF Washington, MD** IBIRS: Joint Basing Implementation Roadmap Study – July 2005 to December 2005.

Results used by the SIBW6 to develop total relief and by the SIBW6 to develop total relief and the relief and t



BRAC Transition Timeline

Begin Joint
Basing
Implementation



		\/				
Event	FY 06 / / /	FY 07V	FY 08 / / /	FY 09 .	FY 10 / / /	FY 11 / / /
Outgoing						
Transportation Center and School Liaison Moves to Fort Lee						
SDDC TEA Move to Scott AFB (includes SDDC Alexandria and portion of SDDC Ops Ctr FF)		A				
SDDC OPS Move to Scott AFB						
Mobilization Mission Moves to Fort Bragg						
Transportation Center and School Advance Party Moves to Fort Lee						
Transportation Center and School (NCO and Lead Courses)						
Transportation Center and School (Remainder)						
Implement Joint Basing						
Incoming						
Advance Party Moves To Fort Eustis from Fort Monroe (TRADOC, NERO, NETCOM, North Region ACA)						
Advance Party Moves To Fort Eustis from Fort McPherson (SERO, NETCOM)						
Main Party Moves To Fort Eustis from Fort Monroe (TRADOC, NERO, NETCOM, North Region ACA)						A
Main Party Moves To Fort Eustis from Fort McPherson (SERO, NETCOM)						A
Other Events						
Convert Hospital to Clinic						





Key Issues

- Implementation guidance is still in draft
 - Draft Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) template due by 31 Dec 06
- Planning is being done at DoD level, with input from working groups and sub-working groups
- Levels of support will be based on:
 - Common Delivery of Installation Services (CDIS)
 - Common Output Level Standards (COLS)





Common Delivery of Installation Support (CDIS)

- CDIS Framework will facilitate consolidated delivery of installation support functions at adjacent & nearby installations under one Military Dept to reduce duplication and create efficiencies.
- Use common framework to describe warfighting capabilities provided by installations
- Promote cost and performance transparency to provide more consistent Installation Support
- Provide tools to predict impact of PPBES decisions
- Consolidate and leverage resources within Joint Bases for efficient delivery of installation support
- Establish Component's fair share of resources to meet desired capabilities at Joint Bases





- - Describes the capability provided by an Installation Support function
 - Several tiers of output levels will provide options for managing risk during PPBES process (where practical)
- COLS framework provides a common language
 - Foundation of Component-wide capability-based planning model
 - Basis for setting common output level standards for each function at the installation level for Joint Base Memorandum of Agreement (MOA)
- Efforts currently focused on critical elements for MOAs
 - Definitions of the function and sub-functions
 - Outputs and output performance metrics
 - Primary cost drivers
- Critical to setting expectations at Joint Base installations





CDIS/Joint

- Establishes governar Reside Sanagement oversight
 - Joint Base Commander (JBC) delivers all installation support
 - Authority, personnel, funding, and real property aligned
- Formal variance process allows flexibility
 - Must be approved by oversight structure
- Installation support personnel transfer to the Supporting Component
 - Civilian installation support billets transfer to Supporting Component
 - Military "exchange" billet(s) enhance inter-operability
 - Embedded military units "TACON" to JBC
 - Creates efficiencies and eliminates redundancy





CDIS/Joint Basing cont.

- Installation Capabilities Council (ICC) will set common output levels by January 07
 - Common across all Joint Bases
 - No Component output levels are lowered due to creation of Joint Base without concurrence of Component
 - Avoids failure experienced in previous efforts to consolidate installation support activities
- Memorandum of Agreement (MOA) will set expectations
 - Document output levels and variances
 - Sets Components' share of resource requirements
 - Commits Supporting Component to provide output levels





CDIS/Joint Basing cont.

- Transfer TOA to Supporting Component in FY 09
 - Clear Command and Control
 - Simplifies operations, accounting structures and funds tracking
 - Clear fiduciary responsibility will improve visibility of expenditures
 - Expending multiple appropriations on a reimbursable basis would be cumbersome over the long-term
- Transfer Real Property to Supporting Component
 - Facilitates comprehensive asset management
 - Enables Joint Base to use optimal delivery process
 - Consistent Facility Investment Strategy
 - Simplifies financial management



Joint Base Implementation





FY06

Strategy FY08

FY09

Develop Joint Base Guidance

Update DoD Guidance

Issue Inițial Joint Base Guidance Jul 06 **Provide MOA Template by Dec 06**

Joint Base Implementation

Develop Joint Base

Plans

Complete SOW for Contract Support for Consolidation by Jun 06

MOAs Approved Sep 07

Changes of Command Oct 07

PR09 PB TOA/Real Property
Transfer Oct 08

Transition to Joint Bases

Implement

Common **Delivery of** Installation

Support

COLS mev ork

Develop

Establish Common Definitions by Jun 06

Establish Performance Metrics and Cost Drivers by Aug 06 Set Performance Output Levels for Joint Bases by





Way Ahead

- Review and comment on draft guidance and MOA template
- Develop concept for Joint MOA development with USAF and USN
- Establishing a Joint Basing web page in the intranet which will have:
 - Frequently asked questions
 - Briefings
 - Documentation
 - Email reference for question
- Quarterly Town Hall Meetings





QUESTIONS?



Next Joint Basing Town Hall Meeting

6 December 2006 1430-1530 Wylie Theatre

Please complete an exit survey so we will be able to provide the information you want at the next town hall meeting